

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/492,231	01/27/2000	Ken-ichi Takatori	Q57674	9607	
7:	590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER		
Sughrue, Mior	n, Zinn, Macpeak & Seas	DUDEK, JAMES A			
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D	OC 20037-3202		2871	TATER NOWIDER	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/04/200	DATE MAIL ED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			low
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	09/492,231	TAKATORI, KEN-ICHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James A. Dudek	2871	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) 106-114 is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) 13,15,17,57 and 59 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	A) []	(DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,3,5,7,9,10,19,21,23,25,27,29,31,33,35,37,39,41,43,45,47,49,51,53,55,71,73,77,78, 93-114, 13,15,17,57 and 59.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1,3,5,7,9,10,19,21,23,25,27,29,31,33,35,37,39,41,43,45,47,49,51,53,55,71,73,77,78 and 93-105.

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 106-114 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct

from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: these claims are directed to a

color filter which is usable with DLPs. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required

under 35 U.S.C. 121:

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I [original claims] and II [claims 106-114] are related as subcombinations

disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from

each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention newly added

invention has separate utility such as DLPs. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented

invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution

on the merits. Accordingly, claims 106-114 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed

to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and

requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 9-10, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 33, 35, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55, 71, 73, 77, 78, 7,

29, 31, 37, 39, 41, 43 and 93-105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because applicant is claiming

a formula that isn't a practical application of an abstract idea with a useful, concrete and tangible

result.

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 9-10, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 33, 35, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55, 71 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Itoh et al. ("496").

Per claim 1, 496 teaches an optical modulation element, comprising: a liquid crystal layer having a helix pitch [see column 15, line 40, 240 degree twist] and held between a pair of transparent substrates having opposing transparent electrodes see upper substrate 12, lower substrate 22, electrodes 14 and 24]; a white light source for sending white light onto a surface of one of said transparent substrates of said liquid crystal layer in an oblique direction [see figure 1 and paragraph bridging columns 3-4]; and at least one flat mirror arranged outside the other one of said transparent substrates to reflect the incident light transmitted through said liquid crystal layer toward said light source [see blaze mirror 31].

Per claim 3, 469 teaches an optical modulation element, comprising: a liquid crystal layer having a helix pitch [see column 15, line 40, 240 degree twist] and held between a pair of transparent substrates having opposing transparent electrodes see upper substrate 12, lower substrate 22, electrodes 14 and 24]; a white light source for sending white light onto a surface of one of said transparent substrates of said liquid crystal layer in an oblique direction [see figure 1 and paragraph bridging columns 3-4]; a first flat mirror arranged outside the other one of said transparent substrates of said liquid crystal layer to reflect the incident light transmitted through said liquid crystal layer in an incident direction thereof [see blaze mirror 31]; and a second flat mirror for reflecting the light reflected by said first flat mirror and by said liquid crystal layer in the incident direction thereof [see blaze mirror 31 and figure 10c, each separate surface of the prisms is considered a separate mirror; as ambient light is used, light would inherently be

Application/Control Number: 09/492,231

Art Unit: 2871

reflected of one mirror (or one surface of a prism shown in 10c) towards the other mirror (or surface of the plurality of surfaces of the prisms shown in figure 10c].

Regarding the newly added limitation wherein p*cos(theta)=lambda/n..., applicant is merely defining a relationship. This does not limit the accompanying structure. Applicant has shown a formula for the index of refraction but the formula alone is inherent—that is, the claim is no more limited then before. In fact, applicant is trying to claim a formula which is prohibited by 35 U.S.C § 101.

Per claim 5, 496 teaches an element according to claim 3, wherein said first and second flat mirrors form an integrated mirror having an L-shaped section, or a saw-toothed mirror [see figure 10].

Per claims 9 and 10, 496 teaches an element according to claims 1 or 3, wherein said transparent electrodes comprise transparent electrode groups divided into stripes such that a longitudinal direction thereof perpendicularly intersects an incident surface group of the incident white light [see figure 1 and stripe electrodes 14 and stripe electrodes 24 running perpendicular to 14].

Per claim 19, 496 teaches an element according to claim 3, wherein an output optical path of a circularly polarized light beam having a selected wavelength and reflected by a liquid crystal surface of one of said transparent substrates and an output optical path of a circularly polarized light beam having a selected wavelength and reflected by said first and second flat mirrors and the other one of said transparent substrates overlap each other at least partially [the reflectors of 496 reflect all visible light and thus will at least partially reflect circular polarized light having a selective wavelength].

Per claims 21 and 23, 496 teaches an element according to claims 1 or 3, wherein a medium having a refractive index lower than that of said liquid crystal is inserted at least one of

Application/Control Number: 09/492,231

Art Unit: 2871

between said transparent substrates and said mirror and between said transparent substrates and said incident surface of the incident light [see 53].

Per claims 25 and 27, 496 teaches an element according to claims 1 or 3, wherein said liquid crystal has a helix axis substantially perpendicular to a substrate surface.

Per claims 73 and 75, 496 teaches a device according to claim 53 and 55, which performs field sequential display [this is intended use].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7, 29, 31, 37, 39, 41, 43 and 93-105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 496.

Per claim 7, 496 teaches an element according to claim 5, but lacks said saw-toothed mirror and the other one of said transparent substrates are integrally formed. However, it was well known to integrate a reflecting surface with the substrate in order to eliminate a layer and

thus decrease the thickness of the over all display. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to integrate the mirror and substrate.

Per claims 29 and 31, 496 teaches an element according to claims 1 and 3, but lack "said

Page 6

liquid crystal has a helix axis substantially parallel to a substrate surface." However, it was well

known to use ferroelectric or antiferroelectric liquid crystal in order to improve response time.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was

made to 496 with ferroelectric material.

Per claims 93-97 and 100-105, 496 lacks a cholesteric liquid crystal layer. However, it

was well known to use cholesteric liquid crystal for reflecting predetermined wavelengths of

light in order to add color. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at

the time the invention was made to combine the well known cholesteric liquid crystal with 496.

Per claim 98-99, it was well known to substitute a quarter wave plate and circular

polarizer to create a linear polarizer thus allowing for the use of cholesteric liquid crystal and

create a color display. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the

time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 15, 17, 57 and 59 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s)

of rejection.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 571-272-2290. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0956.

James A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871

May 2, 2004